

IRELL & MANELLA LLP
 Andra Barmash Greene (123931)
 agreene@irell.com
 A. Matthew Ashley (198235)
 mashley@irell.com
 Justin N. Owens (254733)
 jowens@irell.com
 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400
 Newport Beach, California 92660-6324
 Telephone: (949) 760-0991
 Facsimile: (949) 760-5200

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

JACOB SABATINO, individually, and on
 behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware
 corporation; RASIER, LLC, a Delaware
 limited liability company; RASIER-CA, LLC,
 a Delaware limited liability company;
 RASIER-DC, LLC, a Delaware limited
 liability company; RASIER-PA, LLC, a
 Delaware limited liability company; and DOES
 1 to 25, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:15-cv-00363-JST

**DECLARATION OF JUSTIN OWENS IN
 SUPPORT OF STIPULATION
 MODIFYING THE BRIEFING
 SCHEDULE RE: DEFENDANTS'
 MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS
 PENDING ARBITRATION**

Action Filed: January 26, 2015

1 I, Justin N. Owens, hereby declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney at law, a member in good standing of the State Bar of California,
3 admitted to practice in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, and I am
4 an associate with the law firm of Irell & Manella LLP, counsel for Defendants Uber Technologies,
5 Inc., Rasier, LLC, Rasier-CA, LLC, Rasier-DC, LLC, and Rasier-PA, LLC ("Defendants").

6 2. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below, and if called upon to testify,
7 could and would competently testify thereto.

8 3. I make this declaration in support of the Parties' stipulation to modify the briefing
9 schedule for Defendants' Motion to Stay Proceeding Pending Arbitration (Dkt. No. 27).

10 4. On January 26, 2015, Plaintiff filed his putative class action complaint against
11 Defendants. Dkt. No. 1.

12 5. On February 18, 2015, the Court ordered this case related to *Philliben v. Uber*
13 *Technologies, Inc., et al.* (United States District Court, Northern District of California, San
14 Francisco Division, Case No. 3:14-cv-05615-JST) and *Pappey v. Uber Technologies, Inc.* (United
15 States District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No. 3:15-cv-
16 00064-JST). Dkt. No. 23 in Case No. 3:14-cv-05615-JST.

17 6. The Parties previously stipulated that if Defendants' responsive pleading was a
18 motion of any kind, the Parties would agree to a mutually convenient briefing schedule. Dkt. No.
19 26.

20 7. On March 23, 2015, Defendants filed their Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending
21 Arbitration, with a hearing date of May 21, 2015. Dkt. No. 27.

22 8. In light of the foregoing, the Parties desire to modify the briefing schedule on
23 Defendants' Motion to Stay Proceedings Pending Arbitration as follows: Plaintiff's deadline to
24 file his opposition brief is April 22, 2015, and Defendants' deadline to file a reply thereto is May
25 4, 2015.

26 9. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 6-2(a)(2), requiring the disclosure of all previous time
27 modifications in the case, there have been three (3) previous time modifications in this case. Dkt.
28 Nos. 19, 26, 31.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 31st day of March, 2015, in Newport Beach, California.

By: /s/ Justin N. Owens
Justin N. Owens